### **International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Bio-Medical Science**

ISSN(print): 2767-827X, ISSN(online): 2767-830X Volume 04 Issue 11 November 2024 Page No : 889-894 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.47191/ijpbms/v4-i11-03</u>,Impact Factor:7.792

## Prevalence of Toxoplasma Gondii and Toxocara Canis Antibodies among Owners of Dogs and Cats in Ekiti State Nigeria

Ugbomoiko, D. O.<sup>1</sup>, Olley, M. C.<sup>1</sup>., Aladejare, A. A.<sup>1\*</sup>, Ojo, B. O<sup>2</sup>., Oguntunnbi, D. E<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Laboratory Science, School of Basic Medical Science, Igbinedion University Okada <sup>2</sup>Medical Microbiology and Parasitology Department, Federal Teaching Hospital, P.M.B. 201, Ido Ekiti

Zoonoses are still a serious public health concern since the number of homeless animals, particularly dogs and cats, is increasing. As the causative agents of human toxoplasmosis and toxocariasis, respectively, Toxoplasma gondii and Toxocara canis are significant zoonotic agents from an epidemiological perspective. We have ascertained the seroprevalence of T. gondii and T. canis antibodies among dog and cat owners by a cross-sectional study. Between May and July of 2024, serum samples were taken from 185 owners in Ekiti State, Nigeria. Using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent test (ELISA), the pet owners' sera were collected. The seroprevalence of IgM and IgG antibodies for T. gondii was 3.8% and 9.4%, respectively, in dogs, whereas the IgG antibody seroprevalence for T. canis was 7.5%. Additionally, our seroprevalence findings indicate that dog owners may be more susceptible to contracting T. gondii and T. canis infections, especially if they are female owners. Dog owners in Ekiti State, Nigeria, have significant levels of anti-T. gondii and anti-toxocara antibodies, suggesting a possible zoonotic risk. In order to mitigate the risk of T. gondii and T. canis environmental contamination, it is recommended that dogs and cats have appropriate deworming, be leashed, and have their waste cleaned up. These steps should aid in preventing the morbidity that these two different but extremely adaptable parasites produce in humans.

**KEYWORDS:** Prevalence, Toxoplasma gondii, Toxocara canis, Dogs and Cats owners, Ekiti Atstate

### Available on: https://ijpbms.com/

#### INTRODUCTION

A great deal has been achieved in mitigating and preventing parasite illnesses. Nevertheless, a lot of parasites continue to be a major source of illness and death in pets and can endanger the environment and general public health (Bowman, 2009). Toxoplasmosis and toxocariasis, a significant zoonotic parasite illness brought on by the helminth *Toxocara canis* and the opportunistic protozoan *Toxoplasma gondii*, are two of these parasitic diseases. Nearly all domesticated, wild, and human animals are susceptible to infection by these parasites (Dubey and Beattie, 2010; Montoya and Liesenfeld, 2004). The protozoan parasite *Toxoplasma gondii* is excreted in cat feces as environmentally resistant oocysts that sporulate after 1-4 days and become infectious. Oocysts have a months-to-year infectious lifespan. One of the main ways that humans contract *T. gondii* is by the intake of contaminated soil (Jones *et al.*, 2008). Nematode parasites of dogs and cats are called *Toxocara canis*. After a few weeks in the environment, the adult stages of *Toxocara* spp. become infectious and shed their eggs in the feces. The adult stages of the parasites live in the small intestine of dogs and cats. For months or even years, *Toxocara* species eggs can survive in the soil (Jones *et al.*, 2008). The most common pets are dogs and cats, which are also very important to people's everyday lives (Dantas-Torres and Otranto, 2014). Dogs and cats are also very beneficial to people's physical and mental health (McConnell *et al.*, 2011). Infectious eggs are consumed by humans, frequently as a result of close interaction with animals (Khademvatan *et al.*, 2013). The modes of infection for both

### ARTICLE DETAILS

Published On: 22 November 2024

parasites are similar and include eating raw or undercooked meat, raw or contaminated fruits and vegetables, viscera contaminated with oocysts shed by infected cats (*T. gondii*), or eggs removed by dogs (*T. canis*) (Araújo *et al.*, 2018). With an overall global prevalence of 36% for toxoplasmosis (Rahmanian *et al.*, 2009) and 19% for toxocariasis (Rostami *et al.*, 2019), both diseases have been strongly linked to low socioeconomic situations (Dubey *et al.*, 2021).

This study, therefore, aimed to investigate prevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Toxocara canis* antibodies in owners of Dogs and Cats in Ekiti State.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ekiti State is situated entirely within the tropics. It is located between longitudes 40°51′ and 50°451′ East of the Greenwich meridian and latitudes 70°151′ and 80°51′ north of the Equator. It lies south of Kwara and Kogi State, East of Osun State and bounded by Ondo State in the East and in the south, with a total land Area of 5887.890sq km. Ekiti State has 16 Local Government Councils. By 1991 Census, the population of Ekiti State was 1,647,822 while the estimated population upon its creation on October 1st 1996 was put at 1,750,000 with the capital located at Ado-Ekiti. The 2006 population census by the National Population Commission put the population of Ekiti State at 2,384,212 people.

#### Samples size

Blood samples were collected from 185 household dog and cat owners using purposive sampling method between May 2024 and July 2024, and the sera stored at -20 °C. The sample size was representative of all individuals in the study area. The inclusion criteria for the study subjects was the residence in the study area, agreeing to participate in the study by signing the Informed Consent document (IC), allowing blood collection, and being at least 18 years of age. The project was approved by the Federal teaching hospital Ethics Committee (Araújo *et al.*, 2018).

#### Serological Tests

Sera were separated by sample centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 5 min and then kept at  $-20\circ$  C until analysis. Anti-Toxoplasma antibodies (IgG and IgM) concentrations were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (IgG and IgM: Trinity Biotech Captia, USA). In addition, all sera were examined by Toxocara IgG-ELISA test (IBL, International Gmbh, Hamburg, Germany) and Toxocara Western blot test (LDBIO Diagnostics, Lyon, France) for confirmation (Khademvatan *et al.*, 2014).

#### RESULTS

#### Table 1: Socio-demographic Characteristics of the Animals' Owners

		No Examined (%)
	Ποσ	Cat
	(n=160)	(n=25)
Age (vrs)		
>10	0	0
11-20	07(4.4)	01(4.0)
21-30	56(35.0)	09(36.0)
31-40	42(26.3)	03(12.0)
41-50	26(16.3)	04(16.0)
51-60	21(13.1)	05(20.0)
61-70	08(5.0)	03(12.0)
Sex		
Male	113(70.6)	20 (80 0)
Female	47 (29.4)	05 (20.0)
Location		
Rural	51(31.9)	07(28.0)
Urban	109(68.1)	18(72.0)
Ethnicity		
Yoruba	100(62.5)	09 (36.0)
Igbo	50 (31.3)	05 (20.0)
Hausa	10 (6.2)	11 (44.0)
Level of Education		
No Education	35 (21.8)	05(20.0)
Primary	30 (18.7)	08(32.0)
Secondary	70 (43.7)	06 (24.0)
Tertiary	25(15.6)	06(24.0)
Marital Status		
Single	23(14.4)	4(16.0)
Married	90(56.3)	9 (36.0)
Widowed	27(16.8)	10(40.0)
Divorced	20 (12.5)	02 (8.0)

Table 1 shows socio-demographic distribution of the respondents. Ages of respondents range from 20 to 70 years

with a mean of age 39 years, (SD = 13.491). Majority of them were males (70.62%) while the age bracket 21-30 years had

the highest participant (35.0%). Nearly all (62.5%) of the respondents were Yoruba, the predominant religion was Christianity (43.8%) and only 15.6% had more than secondary school education. One hundred and nine (68.1%)

urban dwellers took part in the study, while 51 (31.8 %) of the respondents came from the rural areas. On their marital status, 14.4 % were single.

Table 2: Prevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Toxocara canis* in relation to the IgM and IgG antibodies positivity of the animals' owners

	Dog Owners' samples(n=160)			c	at Owners' S	amples(n=25	Ð
Torocoro	Anti- Toxoplasn	Anti- na <u>Toxo</u> j	Anti plasma To	<u>xocara</u>	Anti- A Toxoplasma	anti- A A Toxoplas	Anti sma
Toxocara	IgM	IgG	IgG	Ig	<u>M</u> ]	lgG ]	IgG
Positive	15(9.4)	6(3.8)	12(7.5)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
Negative	145(90.6)	154(96.2)	148(92.5)	25(100.0)	25(100.0)	25(100.0)	

Table 2 shows respondents seroprevalence for Anti-*Toxoplasma gondii* antibodies and Anti-*Toxocara canis* antibodies. It revealed that out of 160 dog owners tested for Anti-*Toxoplasma gondii* IgM antibodies, Anti-*Toxoplasma gondii* IgG antibodies and Anti-*Toxocara canis* IgG antibodies, 15(9.4%), 6(3.8%) and 12(7.5%) were positive respectively. Also, all the 25 Cat owners tested for Anti-*Toxoplasma gondii* IgM antibodies, Anti-*Toxoplasma gondii* IgG antibodies and Anti-*Toxocara canis* IgG antibodies were negative 25(100.0%).

Table 3: Socio-demographic characteristics of Dogs'	owner of Toxoplasma gondii and Toxocara canis in relation to Ig M and
Ig G antibodies positivity	

Socio-demographic	Anti- Toxoplasma	Anti- Toxoplasma	Anti-Toxocara
Characteristics	IgM (n=15)	IgG (n=6)	IgG (n=12)
Age (yrs)			
> 10	0	0	0
11-20	0	0	0
21-30	0	2(33.3)	1(8.3)
31-40	0	0	4(33.3)
41-50	3(20.0)	1(16.7)	2(16.7)
51-60	6(40.0)	0 Í	0
61-70	6(40.0)	3(50.0)	5(41.7)
Sex			
Male	5(33.3)	3(50.0)	3(33.3)
Female	10(66.7)	3(50.0)	9(66.7)
Location			
Rural	8(53.3)	4(66.7)	6(50.0)
Urban	7(46.7)	2(33.3)	6(50.0)
Ethnicity			
Yoruba	13(86.7)	3(50.0)	8(66.7)
Igbo	2(13.3)	2(33.3)	3(25.0)
Hausa	0 Í	1(16.7)	1(8.3)
Level of Education			
No Education	5(33.3)	3(50.0)	7(58.3)
Primary	4(26.7)	0	4(33.3)
Secondary	2(13.3)	3(50.0)	1(8.4)
Tertiary	4(26.7)	0	0
Marital Status			
Single	4(26.7)	0	3(25.0)
Married	8(53.3)	4(66.7)	6(50.0)
Widowed	2(13.3)	0(0)	2(16.7)
Divorced	1(6.7)	2(33.3)	1(8.3)

In table 3 the participants were divided into 7 groups based on their age (> 10, 11-20, 21-30, 31-40, 41-50, 51-60 and 61-70 years). The anti-toxoplasma IgM antibodies were 0 %, 0 %, 0 %, 0 %, 20 %, 40 % and 40 % respectively and for antitoxoplasma IgG antibodies were 0 %, 0 %, 33.3%, 0 %, 16.7 %, 0 % and 50 % respectively, and anti-toxocara IgG were 0 %, 0 %, 8.3 %, 33.3 %, 16.7 %, 0 % and 41.7 %. Anti – toxoplasma IgM and anti- toxocara IgG antibodies were more prevalent in females than males with 66.7 % and 66.7 % respectively while anti- toxoplasma IgG antibodies had the

same the same prevalence of 50 %. For the location antitoxoplasma IgM and IgG for rural were 53.3 % and 66.7 % and for urban were 46.7 % and 33.3 % respectively, while anti- toxocara IgG antibodies for rural was 50 % and 50 % for urban. Yoruba had the highest anti toxoplasma IgM and IgG (86.7 % and 50.0 % respectively) while anti- toxoplasma IgG antibodies was 66.7 %. Participants with no education had the highest prevalence rate of anti-toxoplasma IgM and IgG (30.0 % and 50.0 % respectively) and 58.3 % for anti- toxocara IgG antibodies. The married participants had the highest prevalence rate of anti-toxoplasma IgM and IgG (53.3 % and 66.7 % respectively), while anti- toxoplasma IgG antibodies was 50.0 %.

### DISCUSSION

Despite the significance of toxoplasmosis and toxocariasis for humans, the World Health Organization classifies both infections as neglected tropical diseases (Hotez et al., 2010). The illnesses are less obvious in less developed countries like Nigeria. To find out how common toxoplasmosis and toxocariasis antibodies are among dog and cat owners, very few research has been done. The seroprevalence for anti-Toxoplasma gondii antibodies and anti-Toxocara canis antibodies in this study showed that anti- Toxoplasma gondii IgM antibodies, anti- Toxoplasma gondii IgG antibodies and anti-Toxocara canis IgG antibodies, 15(9.4%), 6(3.8%) and 12(7.5%) respectively. The overall seroprevalence of T. gondii and T. canis is consistent with global estimates, which range from 1% to 100% (Furtado et al., 2011). The findings align with research on 6.7% from Korea (Shin et al., 2009), 12.3% from China (Xiao et al., 2010), 22.5% from the United States (Jones et al., 2001), 42.0% from Iran (Rahmanian et al., 2020), 28.3% from Thailand (Nissapatorn et al., 2011), and 23.9% from certain Nigerian regions (Kamani et al., 2009). According to Henriquez et al. (2009), it is less than 67% in Brazil, 68% in Germany, and much less than 80% in the Netherlands, as well as 98% in some areas of France (Silveira et al., 1988). Although the cause of this variation in prevalence across the globe is unclear, Furtado et al. (2011) proposed that it could be due to variations in environmental and socioeconomic factors, such as dietary patterns and health-related behaviors, overall hygiene standards, host susceptibility, geographic location (geolatitude), and soil humidity (Otu- Bassey et al., 2023). Furthermore, contact with dogs and cats is a known risk factor for human infection due to their potential for contaminating public areas with parasite eggs and oocysts (Fakhri et al., 2018; Torrey and Yolken, 2013). It is also likely that similarity in the routes of transmission of both parasites, which include the ingestion of food/soil infected with oocysts of T. gondii (Goldstein et al., 2008) and embryonated eggs of Toxocara spp. or consumption of undercooked or raw infected meat, might be one of the factors favoring the co-infection. Given that cats are the definitive host of T. gondii and play a crucial role in

the parasite's transmission to humans, a link between owning a cat and elevated seropositivity of T. gondii is expected. Cats are typically kept as pets or utilized as rodent control in the areas under study. T. gondii infections in cats occur when they feed on rodents or birds that are infected. Millions of environmentally resistant oocysts are then excreted in cat feces, endangering both human and animal health (Jones et al., 2009). According to earlier research, having cats at home raises your chance of contracting T. gondii (Elsheikha, 2008). Nevertheless, dogs are a dead-end intermediate host and do not generate T. gondii oocysts, therefore they may be viewed as having less significance in the zoonotic transmission of T. gondii. But by a process known as coprophagia, dogs are able to consume oocysts from cat feces, which enter their stomach and exit the body intact and even alive (Schares et al., 2005). Certain canines have an inclination to roll in smelly materials like cat faeces. Because of their behavior, dogs have the potential to inadvertently contaminate the environment and infect humans by ingesting oocysts from their fur when they are caressing them (Wei et al., 2018).

In this study T. gondii and T. canis antibodies prevalence increases with age (table 3). This could be as a result of exposure of the people that may have been infected primarily when they were young, and younger persons may have been as likely to be exposed to T. gondii and T. canis in recent years as older persons were when they were young. Cats and dogs both defecate in soil and humans ingest soil though pica behaviors, especially during childhood, or inadvertently when soil gets on their hands during work or play. The cats' propensity to hide their waste in the sand or below ground further supports it. It can persist for several months or even years after it sporulates. Additionally, when the rainy season arrives, the oocysts' capacity to float around provides them greater opportunity to spread, potentially contaminating other things like food and drinking water (Subrata et al., 2015). Research has indicated that T. gondii and T. canis oocysts and eggs are frequently found in the soil of public parks and private backyards. Gardening, soil contact, and inadequate hand hygiene have been identified as risk factors for T. gondii and T. canis infection. Additionally, elderly individuals may have had a higher likelihood of being exposed to T. gondii in undercooked meat during their childhood (Jones et al., 2008). The results of this study showed that female dog owners had greater rates of T. gondii and T. canis infection than male owners. In most homes, women are in charge of cleaning the litter boxes and disposing of the waste from dogs and cats. The unhealthy behavior in this discussion was engaging in high-risk occupations without wearing protective gear, such as gardening, farming, raising livestock, and other tasks requiring frequent contact with soil, which increased the risk of contracting toxoplasmosis and toxocariasis. The study also supported a publication by Prandota (2010) that suggested animal research could account for women's higher loads. In the early stages of Toxoplasma infection, male mice's spleens

produce more interferon-gamma (IFN) than female mice's. Male mice that have high levels of IFN and tumor necrosis factor-alpha are better able to respond to *T. gondii* infections and manage the parasite's growth.

Participants with less than a high school education were more likely to be seropositively exposed to *T. gondii* and *T. canis*. This could be due to increased manual labor-related soil contact or repeated exposure to contaminated environments, as lower education levels are associated with lower knowledge, comprehension, and appropriate behavior. According to the findings of a study by Liu *et al.*, 2009 a poor level of education increases the chance of contracting toxoplasmosis.

The prevalence of antibodies is higher in rural than urban regions, probably due to increased contact with soil. Olusi *et al.* (1996) linked the region's high seroprevalence of toxoplasmosis to the consumption of dog meat and rats in a previous investigation. According to Samuel *et al.* (2021) the southwest zones had a higher exposure rate than other ethnic groups and geopolitical zones because these and other characteristics were commonly practiced there.

Dogs and cats should be kept indoors, not permitted to hunt, and not fed undercooked meat due to the environmental contamination caused by *T. gondii* and *T. canis*. By covering sand boxes, washing raw fruits and vegetables that may have come into contact with soil, and washing their hands after gardening or other soil-related activities, people can avoid contracting *T. gondii* and *T. canis* infections from soil. Dog owners in Ekiti State, Nigeria, have significant levels of anti-T. gondii and anti-toxocara antibodies, suggesting a possible zoonotic risk. In order to mitigate the risk of *T. gondii* and *T. canis* environmental contamination, it is recommended that dogs and cats have appropriate deworming, be leashed, and have their waste cleaned up. These steps should aid in preventing the morbidity that these two different but extremely adaptable parasites produce in humans.

### REFERENCES

- I. Araújo, A.C., Marcos Marreiro Villela1, Ângela Sena-Lopes, Nara Amélia da Rosa Farias, Laura Maria Jorge de Faria, Luciana Farias da Costa Avila, Maria Elisabeth Aires Berne, Sibele Borsuk (2018). Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Toxocara canis* in a human rural population of Southern Rio Grande do Sul. *Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo.*;60:e28
- Hotez, P.J., Alvarado, M. and Basáñez, M-G (2010). The global burden of disease study : Interpretation and implications for the neglected tropical diseases. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2014;8:e2865
- III. Dubey JP, Murata FHA, Cerqueira-Cézar CK, Kwok OCH, Villena I. Congenital toxoplasmosis in humans: an update of worldwide rate of congenital infections. *Parasitology*. (2021) 148:1406–1416

- IV. Rostami A, Riahi SM, Holland CV, Taghipour A, Khalili-Fomeshi M, Fakhri Y. et al. Seroprevalence estimates for toxocariasis in people worldwide: А systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. (2019) 13:e0007809.doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0007809 Rahmanian V, Rahmanian K, Jahromi A, Bokaie S. Seroprevalence of Toxoplasma gondii infection: An umbrella review of updated reviews meta-analyses. systematic and J Fam Med Prim Care. (2020) 9:3848-55.
- V. Khademvatan, S., Rahim, F., Tavalla,M., Abdizadeh, R. and Hashemitabar, M. (2013). "PCRbased molecular characterization of *Toxocara* spp. using feces of stray cats: a study from Southwest Iran," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 8, no. 6,
- VI. Jones, J.L., Kruszon-Moran, D., Won, K., Wilson, M. and Schantz, P.M. (2008). *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Toxocara* spp. Co-infection, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 78(1)35–39
- VII. Bowman, D.D. (2009). In: Bowman DD, editor. Georgis' Parasitology for Veterinarians. 9th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders *Elsevier*; p. 451.
- VIII. Dubey, J.P. and Beattie, C.P. (2010). Toxoplasmosis of animals and humans. 2nd edition. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press Inc.; 2010; 1–313.
- IX. Montoya, J.G. and Liesenfeld, O. (2004). Toxoplasmosis. *Lancet.*;363:1965–76.
- X. Dantas-Torres, F. and Otranto, D. (2014). Dogs, cats, parasites, and humans in Brazil: opening the black box. *Parasit Vectors*;7:22.
- XI. McConnell, A.R., Brown, C.M., Shoda, T.M., Stayton, L.E. and Martin, C.E. (2011). Friends with benefits: on the positive consequences of pet ownership. J Pers Soc Psychol.;101:1239–52
- XII. Jones JL, Dargelas V, Roberts J, Press C, Remington JS, Montoya JG. Risk factors for *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in the United States. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;49:878–84.
- XIII. Elsheikha HM. Congenital toxoplasmosis: priorities for further health promotion action. Public Health. 2008;122:335–53.
- XIV. Schares G, Pantchev N, Barutzki D, Heydorn AO, Bauer C, Conraths FJ. Oocysts of *Neospora* caninum, Hammondia heydorni, Toxoplasma gondii and Hammondia hammondi in faeces collected from dogs in Germany. Int J Parasitol. 2005;35:1525–37.
- XV. Wei, C., Hany, M. E., Na Z., Peng, P., Si-Yuan, Q., Qing-Feng, M. and Ai-Dong, Q. (2018). Prevalence of antibodies against *Toxoplasma gondii* in pets and their owners in Shandong province, Eastern China BMC Infectious Diseases; 18:430
- XVI. Nissapatorn, V., Lee, C., Quek, K. F., Leong, C. L. and Mahmud, R. (2004). "Toxoplasmosis in

HIV/AIDS patients: a current situation," Japanese Journal of Infectious Diseases, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 160–165.

- XVII. Smith, H., Holland, C., Taylor, M., Magnaval, J.F., Schantz, P. & Maizels, R. (2009). How common is human toxocariasis? Towards standardizing our knowledge. *Trends Parasitology* 25, 182–188.
- XVIII. Fakhri, Y. Gasser, R. and Rostami, A. (2018). *Toxocara* eggs in public places worldwide: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Pollut.*; 242: 1467–1475.
  - XIX. Torrey, E.F. and Yolken, R.H. (2013). Toxoplasma oocysts as a public health problem. *Trends Parasitol*; 29: 380–384.
  - XX. Goldstein EJ, Montoya JG, Remington JS. Management of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection during pregnancy. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 47: 554–566.
  - XXI. Smith H, Holland C, Taylor M, Magnaval J, Schantz P, Maizels R. How common is human toxocariasis? Towards standardizing our knowledge. *Trends Parasitol* 2009; 25:182–188
- XXII. Furtado JM, Smith JR, Belfort R, Gattey D, Winthrop KL. Toxoplasmosis: a global threat. J Glob Infect Dis. (2011) 3:281–4.
- XXIII. Henriquez S.A., Brett R., Alexander J., Pratt J. and Roberts C.W. (2009). Neuropsychiatric disease and Toxoplasma gondii infection. *Neuroimmunomodulation*, 16(2): 122-133.
- XXIV. Xiao, Y., Yin, J., Xiang, M., Hao, L. and Lu, H. (2010). Seroepidemiology of human *Toxoplasma* gondii infection in china. BMC Infect Dis. 10:4
- XXV. Rahmanian, V., Rahmanian, K., Jaromi, A.S. and Bokaie, S. (2020). Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma* gondii infection: An Umbrella Review of updated systemic reviews and meta-analysis, 9(8), 3848-3855
- XXVI. Silveira, C., Vallochi, A.L., Da Silva U.R., Muccioli, C., Holland, G.N. and Nussenblatt, R. (2011). *Toxoplasma gondii* in the peripheral blood of patients with acute and chronic toxoplasmosis. *Br J Ophthalmol*, 95, 396-400
- XXVII. Jones J.L., Kruszon-Moran D., Wilson M., McQuillan G., Navin, T. and Mc Auley, J.B. (2001). *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in the United States: seroprevalence and risk factors. AM. J. Epidemiol 154, 357-365
- XXVIII. Kamani, J., Mani, A.U., Egwu, G.O. and Kumshe, H.A. (2009). Seroprevalence of human infection with *Toxoplasma gondii* and the associated risk factors, in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. Ann *Trop Med Parasitol*, 103 (4)317-321
- XXIX. Henriquez, S. A., Brett, R., Alexander, J. Pratt, J. and Roberts, C.W. (2009). Neuropsychiatric disease

and *Toxoplasma gondii* infection. Neuroimmunomodulation 16 (2) 122-133

- XXX. Liu Q, Wei F, Gao S, Jiang L, Lian H, Yuan B, Yuan Z, Xia Z, Liu B, Xu X, Zhu XQ, (2009). *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in pregnant women in China. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 103:162-166.
- XXXI. Subrata, I M., Suryadhi, N. T., Mantik-Astawa, N., Damriyasa, I M. (2015). Epidemiological and Molecular Analysis of *Toxoplasma gondii* in Faecal Samples of Cats Obtained from House of Maternal in Bali. *Bali Medical Journal*, 4 (2) 68-75
- XXXII. Olusi T, Gross U, Ajayi J. (1996). High prevalence of toxoplasmosis during pregnancy in Nigeria. *Scand J Infect Dis.*;28(6): 645-6.
- XXXIII. Samuel Agwu Ode Afusat Jagun Jubril, Benjamin Obukowho Emikpe (2021). Awareness and habits of Nigerians towards toxoplasmosis and risk factors, *PAMJ* - One Health.;4(15)
- XXXIV. Prandota, J. (2010). "Neuropathological changes and clinical features of autism spectrum disorder participants are similar to that reported in congenital and chronic cerebral toxoplasmosis in humans and mice," *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 103–118.